

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/750,227	Applicant(s) SOSNOVSKY ET AL.	
	Examiner NATHAN PRICE	Art Unit 2194	

All Participants:

(1) Christopher J. Lutz (Reg. No. 44,883).

(2) NATHAN PRICE.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 12 February 2009

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

none

Claims discussed:

1, 33, 34, 38, 51 and 54

Prior art documents discussed:

none

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Applicant's representative approved an Examiner's amendment that would make the case allowable.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Meng-Ai An/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2195

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)